

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et. al.,

Defendants.

Case Nos. [21-cv-01345-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01346-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01452-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01489-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01490-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01491-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01520-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01521-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01522-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01523-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01576-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01577-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01578-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01579-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01580-PJH](#)

[21-cv-01581-PJH](#)

**ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE
CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. *See Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. *See In re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

1 In these civil rights cases plaintiff names as defendants' various federal judges,
2 state judges, counties and federal agencies. Plaintiff presents very similar claims in
3 these cases. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how his various pro
4 se habeas petitions and other cases were handled by the state and federal courts.

5 To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases,
6 he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is
7 "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he filed his complaint. 28
8 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case
9 No. 13-0951 CW.

10 The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent
11 danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an
12 IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512
13 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S.*
14 *District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*,
15 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with
16 prejudice.

17 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk
18 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: March 9, 2021

21
22 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

23 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
24 United States District Judge
25
26
27
28